Cārvāka Philosophy



Dr. Shakuntala Gawde Department of Sanskrit University of Mumbai

www.shakuntalagawde.com shakuntala.gawde@gmail.com

Introduction

- ल Darśana- दश- to see
- Outlook/ vision/ perspective
- Multiple perspectives of looking at the world
- Experiential knowledge
- Vedic literature has seeds of philosophical systems developed later
- Philosophical hymns in tenth mandala of *Rgveda*
- Upanişads are considered the basis of Indian Philosophy

Different schools

Āstika (Orthodox)

Nāstika (heterodox)

Sāṁkhya

Yoga

Nyāya

Vaiśeșika

Uttara-mimāmsā

Lokāyata

Jainism

Buddhism

Nastika – atheist/heterodox system

- 03
- Reprotest against the excessive monkdom of the Brāhmaṇa priests
- calcolor Denied the existence of soul, other world, liberation
- Denied scriptural authority or any other means of knowledge except perception
- ca Denied concept of God as creator or invisible power
- Representation of Denied the concept of merits and sins
- Denies ritualism done for attaining anything
- Denied the authority of Brahmin class
- ca Denied everything which is based on faith

Sources

- Rrimary source Bṛhaspati Sūtras are missing
- No original work of this school survived with the single exception of a much later work, Tattvoplavasimha of Jayarashi Bhatta, published by Oriental Institute Baroda in 1940.
- Chief sources are therefore references into other works in order to refute materialism (chances are that their fundamentals are misinterpreted.)
- Sarvadar
 Samgraha gives a summary of this school (14th CE)
- Second act of Prabodhachandrodaya, teachings of this school are summarized
- Ruddhism, Jainism, Nyāya and Vedānta have mentioned their views in order to refute

Kauțiliya Arthśāstra

- Kauţilya says that king should learn four leaning faculties
 - Vedas, Vārtā (agriculture and commerce), Dandanīti (administration) and ānvikṣiki (philosophy)
- Kauţilya mentions Sāmkhya, yoga and Lokāyata s in philosophy
- Cokāyata as ānvikṣiki -reason oriented inquiry
- Gives their own system on logically based principles
- Reason predominates rather than faith

Rationalist movement

- -03
- CR Lokāyata must have developed as a rational approach towards philosophy
- □ Disregarded scriptural authority either Vedic or non-vedic
- Challenged scriptural testimony, soul, rebirth, other world, God
- Rationalist philosophical movement which attempted to solve individual, social issues merely on empirical, rational and practical grounds without taking recourse to religion

Works on Charvaka philosophy

○ Debiprasad Chattopadhyaya-

- Secular approach, Marxist viewpoint, sympathetic towards Lokāyata s
- Chattopadhyaya reconstructed the image of Carvaka Darśana from the sources like Kautiliya Arthashastra and Tattvasamgrapanjika

Ramkrishna Bhattacharya-

- Collected and rearranged scattered references to Lokāyata
- Tried to restore Lokāyata aphorisms
- Reinterpreted this literature and strengthened the basic framework of Chattopadhyaya's version.
- Sadashiv Athavale, Shubhada Joshi, Pradeep Gokhale
 - Refuted views of Debiprasad Chattopadhyay

Lokāyata

- Revalent / spread (āyatah-spread) among the people (lokeṣu)
- (Viewpoint spread among people)
- Though suggests popularity may have derogatory sense
- One who believes in this world, directed toward this world / worldly
- Contrast to the other world (not alaukika or lokottara)
- Rhilosophy whose basis is in the material world
- Controlled or restrained (āyatam-controlled) or lokena (by people)

Lokāyata / Cārvaka / Bārhaspatya

- Representation of the contraction of the contractio

- Many Bṛhaspatis in tradition, Bṛhaspati was identified with Śukrāchārya
- Richaspati may be mythical author
- Callocation Darsana, Cārvāka Darsana and Bārhaspatya Darsana may not be the names of a uniform single system but family systems or a family of philosophical trends
- CR Different schools of the same system are possible

Cārvaka

- Carvaka may be the proper name of its founder
- calt is etymologized in following ways-
- ca Carva- to eat
- i. a person who believes in eat, drink and be merry
- ii. a person who eats up his own words, or who eats up all moral and ethical considerations

(चर्व्यन्ते पुण्यपापादिकं वस्तुजातमिति चार्वाका:।)

Three broad schools

- - which denies all ways of knowing
- - which accepts perception as the only means of knowledge
- Sophisticated empiricist or broad empiricist
 - Accepts perception and also kind of special kind of inference as a means of knowledge

Time period

- Radhakrishnan- Materialism as old- pre-buddhist era, germs found in Rgveda, arose during the epic period 600 BCE-200 BCE
- CR Doctrines had numerous followers like today
- CR Fundamental discussion over Carvakas is found after 600 BCE
- Residence de la comparta del comparta de la comparta del comparta de la comparta del comparta de la comparta del comparta de la comparta del comparta del comparta de la comparta de la comparta de la comparta del comparta de la comparta de la comparta del c
- Ajita Kesakambali- It was systematized by Cārvākas, though material schools existed before
- Report of the Hopkins- contemporary to Jainism and Buddhism
- Rhys Davids- came as scepticism in general without being organized as philosophical school in 500 BCE

Metaphysics

- Matter is the only Reality -Dehātmavāda, Bhogavāda (materialism, hedonism)
- र तत्र पृथिव्यादिनि भूतानि चत्वारि तत्त्वानि । तेभ्य एवं देहाकारपरिणतेभ्यः किण्वादिभ्यो मदशक्तिवत् चैतन्यमुपजायते ।
- Whatever exists is the combination of four elements.
- Consciousness is a mere product of matter. It is combined when the elements combine in certain proportion. Matter secrets mind due to process like fermentations
- So called soul is nothing but this living body.

Epistemology

- Realization Pratyakșa (perception) is the only valid means of knowledge.
- Validity of (inference) anumāna is rejected as we proceed from known to unknown.
- ∇yāpti is the very nerve of inference
- Cārvākas challenge this relation of universal and invariable relation of concomitance.
- ca E.g: Mountain has a fire (pratijη̄ā)
- Smoke − (hetu) cause
- wherever there is smoke, there is fire (*vyāpti*) Universal statement

Vyāpti remain unproved

Inference is dependent on *vyāpti* (statement of universal relation)
How *vyāpti* can be proved ? *vyāpti* can be proved by *pratyakṣa* or *anumāna* ?

If depends on *pratyakṣa* then how universal statement is possible? If depends on *anumāna* then how it leads to prove *anumāna*?

- Anyonyashraya dosha
- Anavastha dosha

Criticism



- Cārvākas position on inference is vehemently criticized by other philosophical systems.
- To refuse the validity of inference is to refuse thinking and reasoning process.
- Thoughts being abstract can only be inferred.
- We perceive the earth as flat but its round, earth as static but moving round the sun.

Verbal testimony -invalid

- Verbal testimony cannot be authoritative
- Reard words- knowledge through perception is valid
- Knowledge of the unperceived words, objects is invalid
- Authority of the Vedas- work of some cunning priests

अग्निहोत्रं त्रयो वेदासित्रदण्डं भस्मगुण्ठनम् । बुध्दिपौरुषहीनानां जीवेकेति बृहस्पति :॥

No Eschatology

- There is no paraloka like heaven and helf or not rebirth
- र कण्टकादिजन्यं दु:खमेव नरकः । लोकसिध्दो राजा प्रमेश्वरः । देहच्छेदो मोक्षः। देहात्मवादे च 'स्थूलोऽहम्', 'कृशोऽहम्', 'कृष्णोऽहम्', इत्यादिसामानाधिकरण्योपपत्तिः ।
- Naraka is nothing but sorrow experienced in this very world. There is no god. A king who rules is the controller. You don't have to strive for liberation because death itself is mokşa.
- 🖎 न स्वर्गो नापवर्गो वा नैवात्मा पारलौकिक: ।
- If one goes to other world after death then why one can't come to the family where he lived?

Criticism to rituals

न स्वर्गो नापवर्गो वा नैवात्मा पारलौकिक: । नैव वर्णाश्रमादीनां क्रियाश्च फलदायिका: ॥

- It's useless to perform *pretakarmans*. It's nothing but a technique of Brahmins to earn money.
- There is no point in performing duties ordained by particular varņa and āśrama.
- Śrāddha karmans are done by foolish people. स्वर्गस्थिता यदा तृत्पिं गच्छेयुस्तत्र दानत:। प्रासादस्योपरिस्थानातत्र कस्मान्न दीयते ॥

Atheism

03

- God is not necessary to account for the world and cannot be perceived
- Materials elements give rise to the world-no creator
- No need of efficient cause
- Yadrcchāvāda / svabhāvavāda
- No conscious purpose behind the world
- Mechanism of the world is automated
- Self-automated world

Ethics



- Sensual pleasure summun bonum of life i.e. eat, drink and be merry. यावज्जीवं सुखं जीवेदणं कृत्वा घृतं पिबेत् । अस्मीभूतस्य देहस्य पुनरागमनं कुत: ॥
- Releasure is mixed with pain but there is no reason why it should not be acquired.
- Nobody casts away grain because of husk.
- Out of Dharma, artha, kāma and mokṣa, only kāma (sensual pleasure) and artha (wealth) are accepted.
- अङ्गनाद्यालिङ्गनादिजन्यं सुखमेव पुरुषार्थः । न चास्य दु:खसंभिन्नतया पुरुषार्थत्वमेव नास्तीति मन्तव्यम्, अवर्जनीयतया प्राप्तस्य दु:खस्य परिहारेण सुखमेव भोक्तव्यम् ।
- One should enjoy pleasure, keeping away sorrows
- All values are mere phantoms created by diseased mind.

Downfall of Cārvāka

- 05
- Rejection of Authority and denouncement of the Brāhmaṇa priests, God
- Extreme hedonism
- Rejected values which make life worth living
- Questioning the soundness of popular notions and giving rise to new problems of philosophy

Bibliography

- 03
- S. Radhakrishnan, Indian Philosophy, Oxford Press, London
- Chandradhar Sharma, A Critical Survey of Indian Philosophy, MLBD, New Delhi
- S. N. Dasgupta, History of Indian Philosophy
- Rirriyanna, Essentials of Indian Philosophy
- Datta and Chatterjee, An Introduction to Indian Philosophy, University of Calcutta, 1968